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**INTERNAL MODERATION FORM**

FOR COURSEWORK AND EXAMINATIONS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION A: MODULE AND ASSESSMENT DETAILS: *to be completed by the Module Organiser (MO) or nominee*** | | | | | | |
| Module code | 5023Y | Module title | Data Science for Biologists | | | |
| Academic year | 2020-21 | FHEQ level | 5 | Module credits | | 20 |
| Module organiser | Dr Philip Leftwich | | | | | |
| Assignment title/number | 001 | | | | | |
| Assignment weighting | 60% | | No. of markers | | 1 | |
| **Name of moderator** | Dr BECKY LEWIS | | Date sample passed to moderator | | 7/6/21 | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION B: MODERATOR’S REPORT on the assessment task**   1. Comment on overall student performance, including the range of marks and, where appropriate, performance in individual questions (for example, in exams). 2. Any further issues brought to the moderator’s attention from the marking team 3. No issues to be brought forward | | |
| Please confirm that you have reviewed the ‘Assessment brief and marking criteria’ for this item and that it adheres to current academic guidance | Yes | No |
| These items need to be for the moderator to answer as they have the overall perspective | | |
| There was a broad range of marks for this coursework, from fail marks through to ~90%. I think this assessment item was interesting and useful for students and I have no issues. | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **SECTION C: SAMPLE DETAILS: to be completed by the MO or nominee**  You can insert rows if you have more than one marker (right click then Insert>Insert Rows Below) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Name of Marker**  (whose marking has been forwarded to moderator named above) | | **Name of Moderator** | Total no. assignments marked | Mean (AVERAGE in Excel) | Standard Deviation (STDEV in Excel) | Total 70%+ | Sample 70%+ | Total 60-69% | Sample 60-69% | Total 50-59% | Sample50-59% | Total 40-49% | Sample 40-49% | Total <=39% | Sample <=39% | Sample totals |
| PHILIP LEFTWICH | | Becky Lewis | 7 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Totals / overall mean & STDEV |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Sections A and B completed by: *(if not MO)* |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION D: MODERATOR’S REPORT on the marking process *to be completed by the moderator*** | | | | | |
| Do you agree that the marks awarded are appropriate? | | | Yes | | No |
| If you have answered **‘No’,** please indicate why. E.g. If you disagreed with a marker’s judgement on any aspect of the marking for the module, please provide evidence (e.g. descriptive statistics across markers) and any other details: | | | | | |
| Do you recommend that marks should be adjusted? | | | Yes | | No |
| Is the marker in agreement with the adjustment? | | | Yes | | No |
| Please indicate the recommended adjustment: | | | | | |
| Is the quality of feedback appropriate? | | | Yes | | No |
| Any further comments:  The location of the feedback varies a bit, but overall I think the feedback is generous and constructive and no changes are required. | | | | | |
| Moderator’s signature | **R. Lewis** | Date moderation completed | | **7/6/21** | |
| ***If the marker and the moderator are in agreement, please return this form to the MO, who should then forward the marks to LTS; if not, please refer to the Adjudicator for a decision:*** | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION E: ADJUDICATOR’S DECISION: *to be completed by the Adjudicator (if required)*** | | | | | | |
| Name of adjudicator | |  | | | | |
| Do you agree to any adjustment suggested by the Moderator? | | | | Yes | | No |
| Please indicate the rationale for your decision: | | | | | | |
| Adjudicator’s signature |  | | Date adjudication completed | |  | |
| ***Once completed, please return this form to the sampler, who should then forward the marks to LTS*** | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SECTION F: SAMPLE FOR EXTERNAL EXAMINER/S *(where required): this section to be completed by LTS (after moderation) – sample may be the same as the sample in Section B.***  You can insert rows if you have more than one External Examiner (right click then Insert>Insert Rows Below) | | |
| Name/s of External Examiner/s | Date sample sent to EE | Date comments received from EE |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name of LTS administrator: |  |

For more information on how we use your information, please see:

<https://www.uea.ac.uk/about/legalstatements/data-protection-for-webforms>